

1  
2                   **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
3                   **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

4                   Casey Alan Johns,

5                   Petitioner

6                   v.

7                   Perry Russell, et al.,

8                   Respondents

Case No. 2:23-cv-00870-CDS-VCF

Scheduling Order

10                  On July 26, 2023, I granted petitioner Casey Alan Johns's motion for appointment of  
11                  counsel and gave the Federal Public Defender 30 days to (1) undertake direct representation of  
12                  petitioner by filing a notice of appearance or (2) indicate the office's inability to represent  
13                  petitioner in these proceedings. ECF No. 7. On August 24, 2023, the Federal Public Defender  
14                  filed a notice of appearance. ECF No. 10.

15                  IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Federal Public Defender, through Johnathan M.  
16                  Kirshbaum, Esq., is appointed as counsel for petitioner Casey Alan Johns pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §  
17                  3006A(a)(2)(B). Counsel will represent petitioner in all federal proceedings related to this  
18                  matter, including any appeals or certiorari proceedings, unless allowed to withdraw.

19                  IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner shall have up to and including 90 days from  
20                  entry of this order within which to file an amended petition and/or seek other appropriate  
21                  relief. Neither the foregoing deadline nor any extension thereof signifies or will signify any  
22                  implied finding as to the expiration of the federal limitation period and/or of a basis for tolling  
23                  during the time period established. Petitioner remains responsible for calculating the running of  
24                  the federal limitation period and timely asserting claims, without regard to any deadlines  
25                  established or extensions granted herein. That is, by setting a deadline to amend the petition  
26                  and/or by granting any extension thereof, the court makes no finding or representation that the  
27                  petition, any amendments thereto, and/or any claims contained therein are not subject to  
28                  dismissal as untimely. See *Sossa v. Diaz*, 729 F.3d 1225, 1235 (9th Cir. 2013).

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents shall file a response to the amended  
2 petition, including potentially by motion to dismiss, within 60 days of service of an amended  
3 petition and that petitioner may file a reply thereto within 30 days of service of the answer. The  
4 response and reply time to any motion filed by either party, including a motion filed in lieu of a  
5 pleading, shall be governed instead by Local Rule LR 7-2(b).

6 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any procedural defenses raised by respondents to the  
7 counseled amended petition shall be raised together in a single consolidated motion to  
8 dismiss. In other words, I do not wish to address any procedural defenses raised herein either in  
9 seriatum fashion in multiple successive motions to dismiss or embedded in the  
10 answer. Procedural defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will be subject to potential  
11 waiver. Respondents shall not file a response in this case that consolidates their procedural  
12 defenses, if any, with their response on the merits, except pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as  
13 to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking merit. If respondents do seek dismissal of  
14 unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they shall do so within the single motion to dismiss  
15 not in the answer; and (b) they shall specifically direct their argument to the standard for  
16 dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in *Cassett v. Stewart*, 406 F.3d 614, 623-24 (9th Cir.  
17 2005). In short, no procedural defenses, including exhaustion, shall be included with the merits  
18 in an answer. All procedural defenses, including exhaustion, instead must be raised by motion to  
19 dismiss.

20 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in any answer filed on the merits, respondents shall  
21 specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court record  
22 materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

23 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any state court record and related exhibits filed herein  
24 by either petitioner or respondents shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying  
25 the exhibits by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed further shall be identified by  
26 the number or numbers of the exhibits in the attachment. If the exhibits filed will span more  
27 than one ECF number in the record, the first document under each successive ECF number shall  
28 be either another copy of the index, a volume cover page, or some other document serving as a

1 filler, so that each exhibit under the ECF number thereafter will be listed under an attachment  
2 number (i.e., attachment 1, 2, etc.).

3 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that courtesy copies of exhibits shall not be provided.

4 DATED: August 25, 2023

5  
6   
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28